

Symmetric and Non-Symmetric Quantum Capelli Polynomials

FRIEDRICH KNOP*

Department of Mathematics, Rutgers University, New Brunswick NJ 08903, USA

knop@math.rutgers.edu

1. Introduction

Generalizing the classical Capelli identity has recently attracted a lot of interest ([HU], [Ok], [Ol], [Sa], [WUN]). In several of these papers it was realized, in various degrees of generality, that Capelli identities are connected with certain symmetric polynomials which are characterized by their vanishing at certain points. From this point of view, these polynomials have been constructed by Sahi [Sa] and were studied in [KS].

The purpose of this paper is twofold: we quantize the vanishing condition in a rather straightforward manner and obtain a family of symmetric polynomials which is indexed by partitions and which depends on two parameters q, t . As in [KS], their main feature is that they are non-homogeneous and one of our principal results states that the top degree terms are the Macdonald polynomials. It is an interesting problem whether these quantized Capelli polynomials are indeed connected with quantized Capelli identities (see [WUN]) as it is in the classical case.

But the main progress over [KS] is the introduction of a family of non-symmetric polynomials which are also defined by vanishing conditions. They are non-homogeneous and their top degree terms turns out to be the non-symmetric Macdonald polynomials. To prove this, we introduce certain difference operators of Cherednik type of which our polynomials are a simultaneous eigenbasis. Because of these operators, the non-symmetric functions are much easier to handle than the symmetric ones. Moreover, the latter can be obtained by a simple symmetrization process.

More specifically, the non-symmetric vanishing conditions are as follows: For $\lambda \in \Lambda := \mathbb{N}^n$ let $|\lambda| := \sum \lambda_i$ and let w_λ be the shortest permutation such that $w_\lambda^{-1}(\lambda)$ is a partition (i.e., a non-increasing sequence). Let q and t be two formal parameters and

* Partially supported by a grant of the NSF

consider the vector $\varrho := (1, t^{-1}, t^{-2}, \dots, t^{-n+1})$. Then we prove that for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$ there is a polynomial $E_\lambda(z_1, \dots, z_n)$, unique up to a scalar factor, which is of degree $|\lambda|$ and which satisfies the following condition:

$$E_\lambda(q^\mu w_\mu(\varrho)) = 0 \quad \text{for all } \mu \in \Lambda \text{ with } |\mu| \leq |\lambda| \text{ and } \mu \neq \lambda.$$

We show that the affine Hecke algebra acts on these polynomials in a natural way and that there are Cherednik-type operators of which they are simultaneous eigenfunctions. This gives the link to the theory of homogeneous (symmetric or not) Macdonald polynomials.

Furthermore, in this paper we show two results which are in a way dual to each other: the polynomial E_λ contains, in general, much fewer monomials than there are of degree $|\lambda|$ (triangularity) and it vanishes at many more points than required by definition (extra vanishing). The extra vanishing is expressed in terms of an order relation on Λ which generalizes the order of partitions by inclusion of diagrams.

Later we prove that the quantized Capelli polynomials can be expressed in terms of their highest degree component (a Macdonald polynomial) and certain difference operators (inversion formula). This is used to transfer previous integrality results of mine, [Kn], to the case of Capelli polynomials.

In the final section, we discuss the transition from the quantum to the classical case. For this we put $t = q^r$ and let q tend to one.

Acknowledgment: I would like to thank G. Heckman whose questions initiated the research to this paper and S. Sahi for many discussions on the case of the classical limit.

2. The vanishing condition

Let $\Lambda := \mathbb{N}^n$ and $\Lambda^+ \subseteq \Lambda$ the subset of partitions. For $\lambda = (\lambda_i) \in \Lambda$ we write $|\lambda| := \sum_i \lambda_i$ and $l(\lambda) := \max\{i \mid \lambda_i \neq 0\}$ (with $l(0) = 0$).

Let k be a field of characteristic zero and $\mathcal{P} := k[z_1, \dots, z_n]$ the polynomial ring and $\mathcal{P}' := k[z_1, z_1^{-1}, \dots, z_n, z_n^{-1}]$ the ring of Laurent polynomials. To each $\lambda \in \Lambda$ corresponds a monomial $z^\lambda = \prod_i z_i^{\lambda_i}$. Fix two non-zero elements q and t of k . Throughout the paper we assume that $q^a t^b \neq 1$ for all integers $a, b \geq 1$.

The symmetric group $W := S_n$ acts in the obvious way on Λ and $\mathcal{P}$. Every $\lambda \in \Lambda$ contains a unique partition λ^+ in its W -orbit. Let $w_\lambda \in W$ be the shortest permutation such that $w_\lambda(\lambda^+) = \lambda$. For all $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and $x = (x_i), y = (y_i) \in k^n$ let $q^\lambda := (q^{\lambda_i})$ and $xy := (x_i y_i)$. Consider the element $\varrho := (1, t^{-1}, t^{-2}, \dots, t^{-n+1}) \in k^n$.

For every $\lambda \in \Lambda$ we define $\bar{\lambda} := w_\lambda(q^{\lambda^+} \varrho)$. More concretely, $\bar{\lambda}_i = q^{\lambda_i} t^{-k_i}$ where

$$k_i = k_i(\lambda) = \#\{j = 1, \dots, i-1 \mid \lambda_j \geq \lambda_i\} + \#\{j = i+1, \dots, n \mid \lambda_j > \lambda_i\}.$$

The following simple lemma is fundamental:

2.1. Lemma. *For $\lambda \in \Lambda$ with $\lambda_n \neq 0$ let $\lambda^* := (\lambda_n - 1, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1})$. Then $\bar{\lambda}^* = (\bar{\lambda}_n/q, \bar{\lambda}_1, \dots, \bar{\lambda}_{n-1})$.*

Proof: Follows easily from the definition. $\square$

2.2. Theorem. *For $d \in \mathbb{N}$ let $S(n, d)$ be the set of all $\bar{\lambda}$ where $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and $|\lambda| \leq d$. Let $\bar{f} : S(n, d) \rightarrow k$ be a mapping. Then there exists a unique polynomial $f \in \mathcal{P}$ of degree at most d such that $f(z) = \bar{f}(z)$ for all $z \in S(n, d)$.*

Proof: The cardinality of $S(n, d)$ equals the dimension of the space of polynomials of degree at most d . Hence existence of f will imply its uniqueness.

To show existence, we proceed by induction on $n + d$. Every polynomial can be uniquely written as

$$f(z_1, \dots, z_n) = g(z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}) + (z_n - t^{-n+1})h(z_n/q, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}).$$

Consider first the set S_0 of $\bar{\lambda} \in S(n, d)$ with $\lambda_n = 0$, i.e., $\bar{\lambda}_n = t^{-n+1}$. Then, as z runs through S_0 , $z' := (z_1, \dots, z_{n-1})$ will run through $S(n-1, d)$. By induction, one can choose g such that f takes the required values at S_0 .

Consider now the set S_1 of remaining points $\bar{\lambda}$ with $\lambda_n \neq 0$. By the lemma, as z runs through S_1 , $(z_n/q, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1})$ will run through $S(n, d-1)$. The factor $z_n - t^{-n+1} = \bar{\lambda}_n - t^{-n+1}$ is not zero by the choice of q and t . By induction, we can find h of degree at most $d-1$ with arbitrary values at $S(n, d-1)$. So f exists. $\square$

There is also a statement for symmetric polynomials which is the quantized version of a theorem of Sahi [Sa]. For $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$ let m_λ be the corresponding monomial symmetric polynomial.

2.3. Theorem. *For $d \in \mathbb{N}$ let $S^+(n, d)$ be the set of all $\bar{\lambda} = q^\lambda \varrho$ where $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$ and $|\lambda| \leq d$. Let $\bar{f} : S^+(n, d) \rightarrow k$ be a mapping. Then there exists a unique polynomial $f \in \mathcal{P}$ of degree at most d such that $f(z) = \bar{f}(z)$ for all $z \in S^+(n, d)$.*

Proof: The proof is completely analogous to that in [Sa]. Again, only existence has to be proved. Let $g \mapsto g^+$ be the linear map from symmetric polynomials in $n-1$ variables to those with n variables which sends $m_\lambda(z_1 - t^{-n+1}, \dots, z_{n-1} - t^{-n+1})$ to $m_{\lambda,0}(z_1 - t^{-n+1}, \dots, z_n - t^{-n+1})$. This map preserves degrees and satisfies $g^+(z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}, t^{-n+1}) = g(z_1, \dots, z_{n-1})$. We construct f by setting

$$f(z_1, \dots, z_n) = g^+(z_1, \dots, z_n) + \prod_{i=1}^n (z_i - t^{-n+1})h(z_1/q, \dots, z_n/q),$$

where g^+ and h are symmetric. Then, as above, evaluation at $\bar{\lambda} \in S^+(n, d)$ with $\lambda_n = 0$ gives g . Evaluation at the other points gives h . $\square$

We obtain the following Theorem/Definition:

2.4. Theorem. *a) For every $\lambda \in \Lambda$ there is a unique polynomial E_λ with $E_\lambda(\bar{\mu}) = 0$ for all $\mu \in \Lambda$ with $|\mu| \leq |\lambda|$, $\mu \neq \lambda$ and which has an expansion $E_\lambda = \sum_\mu e_{\lambda\mu} z^\mu$ with $e_{\lambda\lambda} = 1$.
b) For every $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$ there is a unique symmetric polynomial P_λ with $P_\lambda(\bar{\mu}) = 0$ for all $\mu \in \Lambda^+$ with $|\mu| \leq |\lambda|$, $\mu \neq \lambda$ and which has an expansion $P_\lambda = \sum_\mu p_{\lambda\mu} m_\mu$ with $p_{\lambda\lambda} = 1$.*

Proof: By Theorem 2.2, there is a polynomial E_λ satisfying the vanishing condition with $E_\lambda(\bar{\lambda}) \neq 0$. We have to show that it contains z^λ with a non-zero coefficient. Let

$$E_\lambda(z_1, \dots, z_n) = g(z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}) + (z_n - t^{-n+1})h(z_n/q, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}).$$

If $\lambda_n = 0$, then $g = E_{\lambda'}$ with $\lambda' = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1})$. By induction, g , and therefore f , contain z^λ . If $\lambda_n \neq 0$ then $g = 0$ and $h = E_{\lambda^*}$. We conclude again by induction.

The proof in the symmetric case is analogous. $\square$

Our proof actually gives a bit more. Consider the operators

$$\Delta f(z_1, \dots, z_n) := f(z_n/q, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}),$$

and $\Phi := (z_n - t^{-n+1})\Delta$. Then we have

2.5. Corollary. *For $\lambda \in \Lambda$ with $\lambda_n \neq 0$ let $\lambda^* = (\lambda_n - 1, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1})$. Then $E_\lambda = \Phi(E_{\lambda^*})$*

For $\lambda \in \Lambda$ let $\mathcal{P}_\lambda \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ be the set of polynomials of degree at most $|\lambda|$ which vanish at all $\bar{\mu}$ with $|\mu| \leq |\lambda|$ and $\mu \notin W\lambda$. Of course, $\mathcal{P}_\lambda$ depends only on Λ^+ .

2.6. Corollary. *We have $\mathcal{P} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda^+} \mathcal{P}_\lambda$. The set $\{E_{w\lambda} \mid w \in W\}$ forms a basis of $\mathcal{P}_\lambda$. Moreover, $\mathcal{P}_\lambda \cap \mathcal{P}^W = kP_\lambda$.*

Proof: Follows directly from the definition. $\square$

We conclude this section by giving two examples. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we define the q -factorial polynomial as $[z; k]_q := (z - 1)(z - q) \dots (z - q^{k-1})$. Then the following is obvious.

2.7. Proposition. *Let $t = 1$. Then $E_\lambda(z; q, 1) = [z_1; \lambda_1]_q \dots [z_n; \lambda_n]_q$ and $P_\lambda(z; q, 1)$ is the symmetrization of it.*

Now we consider the case $t = q$ in the symmetric case. For $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$ we define the q -factorial Schur function as $\mathfrak{s}_\lambda(z; q) := a^{-1} \det[z_i; \lambda_j + n - j]_q$ where $a = \prod_{i < j} (z_i - z_j)$ is the Vandermonde determinant.

2.8. Proposition. *Let $t = q$. Then $P_\lambda(z; q, q) = q^{-(n-1)|\lambda|} \mathfrak{s}_\lambda(q^{n-1}z; q)$.*

Proof: The proof is the same as in the classical case [KS] Prop.3.3. $\square$

3. Hecke operators

In this section, we are constructing operators which are adapted to the decomposition $\mathcal{P} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda^+} \mathcal{P}_\lambda$. Let $s_i \in W$ be the i -th simple reflection. Then

$$N_i := \frac{1 - s_i}{z_i - z_{i+1}}$$

is a well defined operator on $\mathcal{P}$. We define the Hecke operators

$$\begin{aligned} H_i &:= s_i - (1 - t)N_i z_i = t s_i - (1 - t)z_i N_i, \\ \bar{H}_i &:= s_i - (1 - t)z_{i+1} N_i = t s_i - (1 - t)N_i z_{i+1}. \end{aligned}$$

They satisfy the relations $H_i - \bar{H}_i = t - 1$ and $H_i \bar{H}_i = t$. In particular, both H_i and $-\bar{H}_i$ satisfy the equation $(x + 1)(x - t) = 0$. In addition the braid relations hold

$$\begin{aligned} H_i H_{i+1} H_i &= H_{i+1} H_i H_{i+1} & i = 1, \dots, n - 2 \\ H_i H_j &= H_j H_i & |i - j| > 1 \end{aligned}$$

This means that the algebra $\mathcal{H}$ generated by the H_i is a Hecke algebra of type A_{n-1} . For details see [Ch], [M2], or [Kn].

3.1. Lemma. *Let $\mu \in \Lambda$ and $f \in \mathcal{P}'$. Then $H_i f(\bar{\mu})$ and $\bar{H}_i f(\bar{\mu})$ are linear combinations of $f(\bar{\mu})$ and $f(\bar{s}_i \bar{\mu})$ where the coefficients are independent of f .*

Proof: We have

$$\bar{H}_i f(\bar{\mu}) = [s_i - (1 - t)z_{i+1} N_i] f(\bar{\mu}) = \frac{(t - 1)\bar{\mu}_{i+1}}{\bar{\mu}_i - \bar{\mu}_{i+1}} f(\bar{\mu}) + \frac{\bar{\mu}_i - t\bar{\mu}_{i+1}}{\bar{\mu}_i - \bar{\mu}_{i+1}} f(s_i \bar{\mu}).$$

If $\mu_i \neq \mu_{i+1}$ then $s_i \bar{\mu} = \bar{s}_i \bar{\mu}$. Otherwise, $\bar{\mu}_i - t\bar{\mu}_{i+1} = 0$ by definition of $\bar{\mu}$. $\square$

3.2. Corollary. *For every $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$ holds $\mathcal{H}\mathcal{P}_\lambda \subseteq \mathcal{P}_\lambda$.*

3.3. Corollary. *Let X be an operator in the algebra $\mathcal{A}$ generated by the H_i , z_i , and Φ (respectively in the both sided ideal $\mathcal{A}\Phi\mathcal{A}$). Let $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and $f \in \mathcal{P}'$. Then $Xf(\bar{\lambda})$ is a linear combination of $f(\bar{\mu})$ where $\mu \in \Lambda$ and $|\mu| \leq |\lambda|$ (respectively $|\mu| < |\lambda|$).*

3.4. Corollary. *Let $\lambda \in \Lambda$ with $\lambda_i = \lambda_{i+1}$. Then $\bar{H}_i(E_\lambda) = E_\lambda$ and $H_i(E_\lambda) = tE_\lambda$.*

Proof: Let us consider $E := H_i(E_\lambda)$ and $\mu \in \Lambda$ with $|\mu| \leq |\lambda|$ and $\mu \neq \lambda$. Then $E_\lambda(\bar{\mu}) = E_\lambda(\overline{s_i \mu}) = 0$, hence $E(\bar{\mu}) = 0$. This means that E is a multiple of E_λ . Evaluation at $z = \bar{\lambda}$ implies that the factor is t . $\square$

For $i = 1, \dots, n$ we define the Cherednik operators

$$\xi_i^{-1} = \bar{H}_i \dots \bar{H}_{n-1} \Delta H_1 \dots H_{i-1}.$$

Their relevance will become clear later. Furthermore, we define the operators

$$\Xi_i := z_i^{-1} + z_i^{-1} H_i \dots H_{n-1} \Phi H_1 \dots H_{i-1}$$

which are a priori only well-defined on Laurent polynomials. The following relations are easily established

$$H_i \Xi_i = \Xi_{i+1} \bar{H}_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1; \quad H_i \Xi_j = \Xi_j H_i, \quad j \neq i, i+1.$$

3.5. Lemma. *The operators Ξ_i act on $\mathcal{P}$.*

Proof: Since $\Xi_i = t^{-1} \bar{H}_i \Xi_{i+1} \bar{H}_i$ it suffices to consider the case $i = n$. Because

$$\Xi_n := (z_n - t^{-n+1}) z_n^{-1} (\xi_n^{-1} - t^{n-1}) + t^{n-1},$$

the assertion follows from the following claim

$$\xi_n^{-1} f = t^{n-1} f \pmod{z_n} \quad \text{for all } f \in \mathcal{P}$$

We write $f \equiv g$ for $f = g \pmod{z_n}$. Then we show first by induction

$$(*) \quad \Delta H_1 \dots H_i f \equiv t^{i-1} \Delta s_1 \dots s_i.$$

We have $\Delta H_1 \dots H_i f \equiv t^{i-2} \Delta s_1 \dots s_{i-1} (ts_i - (1-t)z_i N_i) f$. The lemma follows from $\Delta s_1 \dots s_{i-1} z_i = q^{-1} z_n \Delta s_1 \dots s_{i-1}$.

For $i = n-1$, $(*)$ reads $\xi_n^{-1} f \equiv t^{n-1} \Delta s_1 \dots s_{n-1} f$. The claim follows from

$$\Delta s_1 \dots s_{n-1} f(z) = f(z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}, z_n/q) \equiv f(z). \quad \square$$

The Ξ_i are inhomogeneous versions of the Cherednik operators. Observe, that they don't increase the degree. The main result of this paper is

3.6. Theorem. *For all $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and $i = 1, \dots, n$ holds $\Xi_i(E_\lambda) = \bar{\lambda}_i^{-1} E_\lambda$.*

Proof: Let $d := |\lambda|$ and write $\Xi_i = z_i^{-1} + X_i$. Then $\Xi_i(E_\lambda) = z_i^{-1}E_\lambda + X_i(E_\lambda)$. Since E_λ vanishes in $S(n, d-1)$, Lemma 3.1 implies that $X_i(E_\lambda)$ vanishes in $S(n, d)$. Hence, $\Xi_i(E_\lambda)$ vanishes for all $\mu \in S(n, d)$ with $\mu \neq \lambda$. This implies that $\Xi_i(E_\lambda) = cE_\lambda$ for some $c \in k$. Evaluation at $z = \bar{\lambda}$ implies $c = \bar{\lambda}_i^{-1}$. $\square$

3.7. Corollary. *The operators $\Xi_1, \dots, \Xi_n$ commute pairwise.*

3.8. Corollary. *Let $p \in \mathcal{P}^W$. Then $\Xi_p := p(\Xi_1, \dots, \Xi_n)$ commutes with all H_i . Moreover, $\Xi_p(P_\lambda) = p(\bar{\lambda}^{-1})P_\lambda$.*

Proof: Ξ_p acts on $\mathcal{P}_\lambda$ as scalar multiplication by $p(\bar{\lambda}^{-1})$. $\square$

3.9. Theorem. *Let $\bar{E}_\lambda$ be the top homogeneous part of E_λ . Then $\xi_i^{-1}(\bar{E}_\lambda) = \bar{\lambda}_i^{-1}\bar{E}_\lambda$. This means, that $\bar{E}_\lambda$ is a non-symmetric Macdonald polynomial. Similarly, the top homogeneous part $\bar{P}_\lambda$ of P_λ is a symmetric Macdonald polynomial.*

Proof: We have $\Xi_n = \xi_n^{-1}$ plus terms which decrease the degree. Therefore, also $\Xi_i = \xi_i^{-1}$ plus degree decreasing operators. The theorem follows since the Macdonald polynomials are characterized as eigenfunctions of the ξ_i . $\square$

There is a (partial) order relation on Λ . First, recall the usual order on the set Λ^+ : we say $\lambda \geq \mu$ if $|\lambda| = |\mu|$ and

$$\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_i \geq \mu_1 + \mu_2 + \dots + \mu_i \quad \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, n.$$

This order relation is extended to all of Λ as follows. For every $\lambda \in \Lambda$ there is a unique partition λ^+ in the orbit $W\lambda$. For all permutations $w \in W$ with $\lambda = w\lambda^+$ there is a unique one, denoted by w_λ , of minimal length. We define $\lambda \geq \mu$ if either $\lambda^+ > \mu^+$ or $\lambda^+ = \mu^+$ and $w_\lambda \leq w_\mu$ in the Bruhat order of W . In particular, λ^+ is the unique *maximum* of $W\lambda$.

3.10. Lemma. *The operators Ξ_i are triangular. More precisely, $\Xi_i(z^\lambda) = \bar{\lambda}_i^{-1}z^\lambda + \sum_{\mu < \lambda} c_{\lambda\mu}z^\mu$.*

Proof: For this we write $\Xi_i = z_i^{-1} + \bar{H}_i \dots \bar{H}_{n-1}(1 - t^{-n+1}z_n^{-1})\Delta H_1 \dots H_{i-1} = \xi_i^{-1} + Y_i$ where $Y_i = z_i^{-1} - t^{-n+1}\bar{H}_i \dots \bar{H}_{n-1}z_n^{-1}\Delta H_1 \dots H_{i-1}$. It is well known that ξ_i^{-1} is triangular (see [M2]) with the given coefficient of z^λ . Since Y_i decreases the degree it suffices to show that $\mu^+ < \lambda^+$ for each monomial z^μ occuring in $Y_i(z^\lambda)$. But that is well known to be true. $\square$

3.11. Theorem. *For every $\lambda \in \Lambda$ there is the expansion $E_\lambda = z^\lambda + \sum_{\substack{\mu \in \Lambda \\ \mu < \lambda}} e_{\lambda\mu}z^\mu$. Similarly, if $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$ then $P_\lambda = m_\lambda + \sum_{\substack{\mu \in \Lambda^+ \\ \mu < \lambda}} p_{\lambda\mu}m_\mu$.*

Proof: By the triangularity and diagonalizability of Ξ_i there must be an eigenfunction of the stated form with eigenvalue $\bar{\lambda}_i^{-1}$. Thus, it equals E_λ . $\square$

4. The extra vanishing theorem

We are going to introduce another (partial) order relation on Λ . Let $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda$. Then we say $\lambda \preccurlyeq \mu$ if there is a permutation $\pi \in W$ such that $\lambda_i < \mu_{\pi(i)}$ if $i < \pi(i)$ and $\lambda_i \leq \mu_{\pi(i)}$ if $i \geq \pi(i)$. In this case we call π a *defining permutation* for $\lambda \preccurlyeq \mu$.

4.1. Lemma. *If $\lambda \preccurlyeq \mu$ and $|\lambda| \geq |\mu|$ then $\lambda = \mu$.*

Proof: All inequalities $\lambda_i \leq \mu_{\pi(i)}$ must be equalities. This can only happen if $i \geq \pi(i)$ for all i which implies $\pi = \text{id}$ and $\lambda = \mu$. $\square$

If λ and μ are partitions then $\lambda \preccurlyeq \mu$ is just the usual inclusion relation among diagrams but in general “ $\preccurlyeq$ ” it is finer than “ $\subseteq$ ”.

We proceed by describing the minimal elements lying above λ . For a subset $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_r\}$ of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ with $i_1 < \dots < i_r$ we define $c_I(\lambda) := \mu \in \Lambda$ where

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{i_j} &= \lambda_{i_{j+1}} & j &= 1, \dots, r-1; \\ \mu_{i_r} &= \lambda_{i_1} + 1; \\ \mu_i &= \lambda_i, & i &\notin I. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, $\lambda \prec c_I(\lambda)$. Conversely,

4.2. Lemma. *Let $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda$ with $\lambda \prec \mu$. Then there is I such that $c_I(\lambda) \preccurlyeq \mu$.*

Proof: Let π be as in the definition of $\lambda \preccurlyeq \mu$. If $\pi = \text{id}$ then $\lambda_i < \mu_i$ for some i and we can choose $I = \{i\}$. Assume from now on $\pi \neq \text{id}$.

Let i_1 be minimal with $\pi(i_1) \neq i_1$. Then necessarily $\pi(i_1) > i_1$. Put $i_k := \pi^{k-1}(i_1)$ and let $r \geq 2$ be minimal with $i_{r+1} > i_r$. Then we have $i_1 \leq i_r < \dots < i_2$. Now we take $I := \{i_1, i_r, \dots, i_2\}$ (note that i_r might be equal to i_1). For showing $c_I(\lambda) \preccurlyeq \mu$ one checks easily that the following permutation π' is defining:

$$\pi'(i_1) = i_{r+1}; \quad \pi'(i_j) = i_j, \quad j = 2, \dots, r; \quad \pi'(i) = \pi(i), \quad i \notin I. \quad \square$$

The next lemma shows that one doesn't have to check all permutations to show $\lambda \preccurlyeq \mu$.

4.3. Lemma. *Let $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda$ with $\lambda \preccurlyeq \mu$. Then $\pi = w_\mu w_\lambda^{-1}$ is a defining permutation.*

Proof: First note that π is the permutation with $k_i(\lambda) = k_{\pi(i)}(\mu)$ for all i . Fixing π like that certainly defines a new order relation $\preceq'$ which is coarser than $\preceq$. To show that these relations coincide it suffices to show $\lambda \preceq' \mu := c_I(\lambda)$ for all I .

For this we may assume that I is maximal with $\mu = c_I(\lambda)$. This means that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_i &\neq \lambda_{i_1} && \text{for } i = 1, \dots, i_1 - 1; \\ \lambda_i &\neq \lambda_{i_2} && \text{for } i = i_1 + 1, \dots, i_2 - 1; \\ &\text{etc.} \\ \lambda_i &\neq \lambda_{i_r} && \text{for } i = i_{r-1} + 1, \dots, i_r - 1; \\ \lambda_i &\neq \lambda_{i_1} + 1 && \text{for } i = i_r + 1, \dots, n. \end{aligned}$$

In this case one verifies easily

$$k_{i_j}(\mu) = k_{i_{j+1}}(\lambda) \quad j = 1, \dots, r-1; \quad k_{i_r}(\mu) = k_{i_1}(\lambda)$$

and $k_i(\mu) = k_i(\lambda)$ otherwise. This shows $\lambda \preceq' \mu$. $\square$

Definition: A subset $S \subseteq \Lambda$ is called *closed* if $\lambda \in S$ and $\lambda \preceq \mu$ implies $\mu \in S$. If that is the case let $I_S \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ be the ideal of functions f which vanish in all $\bar{\lambda}$ with $\lambda \in \Lambda \setminus S$.

4.4. Theorem. *Let $S \subseteq \Lambda$ be a closed subset. Then $\Xi_i(I_S) \subseteq I_S$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$.*

Proof: Let $\mu \in \Lambda \setminus S$ and $f \in I_S$. We have to show that $\Xi_i f(\bar{\mu}) = 0$. The definition of Ξ_i shows that $\Xi_i f(\bar{\mu})$ is a linear combination of $f(\bar{\mu})$ and $y := \sigma_i \dots \sigma_{n-1} \Delta \sigma_1 \dots \sigma_{i-1} f(\bar{\mu})$ where each operator σ_j is either s_i or 1. This shows that $y = f(\bar{\lambda})$ with $\mu = c_I(\lambda)$ for some I and $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Since S is closed we have $\lambda \in \Lambda \setminus S$ and therefore, $y = 0$. $\square$

Now we show the extra vanishing theorem:

4.5. Theorem. *Let $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda$ with $\lambda \not\preceq \mu$. Then $E_\lambda(\bar{\mu}) = 0$.*

Proof: Consider the closed subset $S = \{\nu \in \Lambda \mid \lambda \preceq \nu\}$. We have to show $E_\lambda \in I_S$. For generic q and t there is a function $f \in I_S$ with $f(\bar{\lambda}) \neq 0$. Indeed, take for example

$$f(z) := \prod_{\pi \in W} \left[\prod_{i < \pi(i)} \varphi_{\lambda_i+1}(\bar{\lambda}_i^{-1} z_{\pi(i)}) \prod_{i \geq \pi(i)} \varphi_{\lambda_i}(q \bar{\lambda}_i^{-1} z_{\pi(i)}) \right]$$

where $\varphi_k(z) := (z-1)(z-q^{-1}) \dots (z-q^{-k+1})$. Since I_S is Ξ_i -stable there is $E_{\lambda'} \in I_S$ with $E_{\lambda'}(\lambda) \neq 0$. In particular, $|\lambda'| \leq |\lambda|$. On the other hand, $E_{\lambda'}(\bar{\lambda}') \neq 0$ implies $\lambda' \in S$, i.e. $\lambda \preceq \lambda'$. Therefore, $\lambda' = \lambda$ (Lemma 4.1). $\square$

4.6. Theorem. *Let $S \subseteq \Lambda$ be closed. Then $I_S = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in S} k E_\lambda$.*

Proof: By Theorem 4.4, we have $I_S = \oplus_{\lambda \in S'} kE_\lambda$ for some subset $S' \subseteq \Lambda$. Let $\lambda \in S'$. Then $E_\lambda(\bar{\lambda}) \neq 0$ implies $\lambda \in S$, hence $S' \subseteq S$. Conversely, let $\lambda \in S$ and $\mu \in \Lambda \setminus S$. Then $E_\lambda(\bar{\mu}) = 0$ by the extra vanishing theorem. Hence $E_\lambda \in I_S$ and $\lambda \in S'$. $\square$

4.7. Corollary. *Let $E_\lambda E_\mu = \sum_\nu c'_{\lambda\mu} E_\nu$. Then $c'_{\lambda\mu} = 0$ unless $\lambda, \mu \preceq \nu$.*

Proof: Let S be the set of $\nu \in \Lambda$ with $\lambda \preceq \nu$. Then by Theorem 4.5 and Theorem 4.6, the principal ideal $\mathcal{P}E_\lambda$ is contained in $\oplus_{\nu \in S} kE_\nu$. This shows $\lambda \preceq \nu$ whenever $c'_{\lambda\mu} \neq 0$. The relation $\mu \preceq \nu$ follows by symmetry. $\square$

The whole discussion has also a symmetric counterpart. As already mentioned, on Λ^+ the order relation $\preceq$ is just inclusion of diagrams. Then everything works for this order relation. See [KS] for the precise statements.

5. The inversion formula and integrality results

We have seen that the Macdonald polynomials are obtained from the E_λ or P_λ by taking the top homogeneous part. In this section we show how to invert this process. Let $\Psi : \mathcal{P} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{P}$ be the linear isomorphism mapping $\bar{E}_\lambda$ to E_λ . Another way of describing Ψ is: let $\mathcal{P}_d$ be the set of polynomials of degree d which vanish in $S(n, d-1)$ and $\bar{\mathcal{P}}_d$ the set of homogeneous polynomials of degree d . Then taking the leading term gives an isomorphism $\mathcal{P}_d \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{P}}_d$ which maps E_λ to $\bar{E}_\lambda$. Hence, Ψ is the inverse of this map. This also shows that Ψ is an $\mathcal{H}$ -homomorphism. In particular, $\Psi(\bar{P}_\lambda) = P_\lambda$.

Observe that Ψ commutes with H_i and intertwines the action of Φ and $\bar{\Phi} := z_n \Delta$. Now we study how Ψ behaves with respect to multiplication by z_i . For this we define, for $i = 1, \dots, n$, the operators

$$Z_i := t^{\binom{n}{2}} (z_i \Xi_i - 1) \Xi_1 \dots \hat{\Xi}_i \dots \Xi_n.$$

Observe that $S := t^{\binom{n}{2}} \Xi_1 \dots \Xi_n$ acts like an ‘‘Euler operator’’ on $\mathcal{P}$, i.e., it acts on $\mathcal{P}_d$ as scalar multiplication by q^d . We could write $Z_i = (z_i - \Xi_i^{-1})S$ but note that Ξ_i^{-1} is not a difference operator. Let M be the operator which acts on $\bar{\mathcal{P}}_d$ by multiplication with $q^{\binom{d}{2}}$.

5.1. Theorem. *For all $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and for $i = 1, \dots, n$ the following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bar{\mathcal{P}}_d & \xrightarrow{\Psi M} & \mathcal{P}_d \\ \downarrow z_i & & \downarrow Z_i \\ \bar{\mathcal{P}}_{d+1} & \xrightarrow{\Psi M} & \mathcal{P}_{d+1} \end{array}$$

In particular, $Z_i \Psi M = \Psi M z_i$.

Proof: According to the definition of Ξ_i , we have

$$z_i \Xi_i - 1 = H_i \dots H_{n-1} \Phi H_1 \dots H_{i-1}.$$

Therefore, Z_i maps indeed $\mathcal{P}_d$ into $\mathcal{P}_{d+1}$ (Lemma 3.1, Theorem 3.6). Moreover, for the leading term $\overline{Z_i(f)} = q^d z_i \bar{f}$, which is equivalent to the commutativity of the diagram. $\square$

Now we can prove the inversion formula:

5.2. Corollary. *a) The operators $Z_1, \dots, Z_n$ commute pairwise.*

b) For every $f \in \mathcal{P}$ holds $\Psi M(f) = f(Z_1, \dots, Z_n)(1)$ (evaluation at the constant function 1).

Proof: By Theorem 5.1, we have $\Xi_i = (\Psi M) z_i (\Psi M)^{-1}$. This implies a). For b) note

$$\Psi M(f) = \Psi M(f(z_1, \dots, z_n))(1) = f(Z_1, \dots, Z_n)(1). \quad \square$$

To remove the denominators in the coefficients of E_λ we use the following normalization. Recall, that the *diagram* of $\lambda \in \Lambda$ is the set of points (usually called *boxes*) $s = (i, j) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ such that $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $1 \leq j \leq \lambda_i$. For each box s we define the *arm-length* $a(s)$ and *leg-length* $l(s)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} a(s) &:= \lambda_i - j \\ l'(s) &:= \#\{k = 1, \dots, i-1 \mid j \leq \lambda_k + 1 \leq \lambda_i\} \\ l''(s) &:= \#\{k = i+1, \dots, n \mid j \leq \lambda_k \leq \lambda_i\} \\ l(s) &:= l'(s) + l''(s) \end{aligned}$$

If $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$ is a partition then $l'(s) = 0$ and $l''(s) = l(s)$ is just the usual leg-length. We define

$$\mathcal{E}_\lambda := \prod_{s \in \lambda} (1 - q^{a(s)+1} t^{l(s)+1}) E_\lambda.$$

$$\mathcal{P}_\lambda := \prod_{s \in \lambda} (1 - q^{a(s)} t^{l(s)+1}) P_\lambda.$$

With this normalization, we obtain:

5.3. Proposition. *The coefficients of $\mathcal{E}_\lambda$ and $\mathcal{P}_\lambda$ are in $\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}, t, t^{-1}]$.*

Proof: The leading terms $\bar{\mathcal{E}}_\lambda$ and $\bar{\mathcal{P}}_\lambda$ have coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}[q, t]$ by Corollary 5.2 and Theorem 6.1 of [Kn]. The result follows from the fact that the operators Z_i are defined over $\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}, t, t^{-1}]$. $\square$

This result can be improved. For $m = 1, \dots, n$ we define the operators

$$\begin{aligned} A_m &:= H_m H_{m+1} \dots H_{n-1} \Phi \\ \bar{A}_m &:= \bar{H}_m \bar{H}_{m+1} \dots \bar{H}_{n-1} \Phi \end{aligned}$$

Then we have the following recursion relation:

5.4. Theorem. *Let $\lambda \in \Lambda$ with $m := l(\lambda) > 0$. Put $\lambda^* := (\lambda_m - 1, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{m-1}, 0, \dots, 0)$. Then $\mathcal{E}_\lambda = q^{\lambda_m - 1} [\bar{A}_m - \bar{\lambda}_m t^m A_m] \mathcal{E}_{\lambda^*}$.*

Proof: Apply Ψ to both sides of [Kn] Theorem 5.1. $\square$

5.5. Corollary. *Let $\mathcal{E}_\lambda = \sum_\mu e_{\lambda\mu} z^\mu$ and $\mathcal{P}_\lambda = \sum_\mu p_{\lambda\mu} m_\mu$. Then*

$$e_{\lambda\mu}, p_{\lambda\mu} \in t^{-(n-1)(|\lambda| - |\mu|)} \mathbb{Z}[q, t].$$

Proof: The operators H_i and $\bar{H}_i$ are defined over $\mathbb{Z}[t]$. Moreover, $\Phi = (z_n - t^{-n+1})\Delta$. Hence the recursion formula implies $e_{\lambda\mu} \in t^{-k} \mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}, t]$ with $k = (n-1)(|\lambda| - |\mu|)$. To show that no negative powers of q appear observe that $z_1 \Xi_1 - 1 = H_1 \dots H_{n-1} \Phi$. Therefore,

$$q^{\lambda_m - 1} \Phi(E_{\lambda^*}) = q^{\lambda_m - 1} H_{n-1}^{-1} \dots H_1^{-1} (z_1 (\bar{\lambda}_1^*)^{-1} - 1) \mathcal{E}_{\lambda^*}.$$

The claim follows from $\bar{\lambda}_1^* = q^{\lambda_m - 1} t^{-k}$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

The assertion for $\mathcal{P}_\lambda$ follows by symmetrization as in the proof of [Kn] Theorem 6.1. $\square$

6. The classical limit

Let α be a formal parameter. If one puts $q = t^\alpha$ and lets t go to 1 then $(1-t)^{-|\lambda|} \bar{\mathcal{P}}_\lambda$ converges to the Jack polynomial $J_\lambda^{(\alpha)}(z)$. In this final section, I will discuss the analogue for our non-homogeneous Macdonald polynomials. For this it is a bit more convenient to set $t = q^r$ and let q tend to 1. Then α and r are related by $\alpha = 1/r$.

We introduce the following notation: Let $p(q, t) \in \mathbb{Q}(q, t)$, $p_0 \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we write $p \xrightarrow{k, r} p_0$ if $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1} \frac{p(q, q^r)}{(q-1)^k} = p_0$. For example, $q^a t^b - 1 \xrightarrow{1, r} a + br$.

As $q \rightarrow 1$, the points $\bar{\lambda}$ all collapse to 1. Therefore, we introduce the function $\varphi_q(x) := \frac{x-1}{q-1}$ and the affine transformation $\varphi_q(z) := (\varphi_q(z_1), \dots, \varphi_q(z_n))$. Set $\tilde{\varrho} := (0, -r, \dots, -(n-1)r)$. Then we have $\varphi_q(\bar{\lambda}) \xrightarrow{0, r} \tilde{\lambda}$ where $\tilde{\lambda} := \lambda + w_\lambda \varrho$. Conversely, we can write $\bar{\lambda} = q^{\tilde{\lambda}}$.

The action of φ_q on $\mathcal{P}$ is given by $\varphi_q f(z) = f(\varphi_q^{-1}(z))$. We extend our notation as follows: if $X(q, t)$ and $X(r)$ are operators on $\mathcal{P}$ then we write $X(q, t) \xrightarrow{k, r} X(r)$ if for all $f \in \mathcal{P}$

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 1} (q-1)^{-k} \varphi_q X(q, q^r) \varphi_q^{-1} f = X(r) f.$$

For example, if $X(q, t)$ is the multiplication operator by $g(z; q, t)$ then $\varphi_q X(q, q^r) \varphi_q^{-1}$ is multiplication by $\varphi_q g = g \circ \varphi_q^{-1}$. In this way, $g \xrightarrow{k, r} g_0$ has to be understood. Since $\varphi_q^{-1}(x) = (q-1)x + 1$ we obtain, for example, $z_i \xrightarrow{0, r} 1$ while $z_i - 1 \xrightarrow{1, r} z_i$.

Define the operators $\tilde{\Delta} f(z) := f(z_n - 1, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1})$ and $\tilde{\Phi} := (z_n + (n-1)r) \tilde{\Delta}$.

6.1. Lemma. *We have $\Delta \xrightarrow{0, r} \tilde{\Delta}$ and $\Phi \xrightarrow{1, r} \tilde{\Phi}$.*

Proof: We have $\varphi_q \Delta \varphi_q^{-1} f(z) = f(\psi(z_n), z_1, \dots, z_{n-1})$ where $\psi(z) = z_n/q - 1/q \xrightarrow{0, r} z_n - 1$. Moreover, $z_n(\varphi_q^{-1}(z)) - t^{-n+1} = (q-1)z_n + (1-t^{-n+1}) \xrightarrow{1, r} z_n + (n-1)r$. $\square$

6.2. Theorem. *For every $\lambda \in \Lambda$ there is a unique polynomial $\tilde{E}_\lambda$ of degree $|\lambda|$ which vanishes at all $\tilde{\mu}$ with $|\mu| \leq |\lambda|$ and $\mu \neq \lambda$. Moreover, $E_\lambda \xrightarrow{|\lambda|, r} \tilde{E}_\lambda$.*

Proof: Repeat the proof of Theorem 2.2 with respect to vanishing at $\varphi_q(\bar{\lambda})$. Then one sees, by induction, that the limit $q \rightarrow 1$ exists. $\square$

In the limit $q \rightarrow 1$, the symmetric version has been already treated in [KS]. As above we obtain

6.3. Theorem. *For every $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$ there is a unique polynomial $\tilde{P}_\lambda$ of degree $|\lambda|$ which vanishes at all $\tilde{\mu} = \mu + \varrho$ where $\mu \in \Lambda^+$ with $|\mu| \leq |\lambda|$ and $\mu \neq \lambda$. Moreover, $P_\lambda \xrightarrow{|\lambda|, r} \tilde{P}_\lambda$.*

Next we study the limit of the Hecke operators. Let $\sigma_i := s_i - rN_i$.

6.4. Lemma. *We have $H_i, \bar{H}_i \xrightarrow{0, r} \sigma_i$ and $H_i - \bar{H}_i \xrightarrow{1, r} r$.*

Proof: First observe that s_i commutes with φ_q . Moreover,

$$(1-t) \frac{z_{i+1}}{z_i - z_{i+1}} \varphi_q^{-1} = \frac{1-t}{q-1} \frac{(q-1)z_{i+1} + 1}{z_i - z_{i+1}} \xrightarrow{0, r} \frac{-r}{z_i - z_{i+1}}.$$

This implies the claim for $\bar{H}_i$. For H_i , use $H_i = \bar{H}_i + t - 1$. $\square$

The braid relations for the H_i imply them for the σ_i . Moreover, from $H_i \bar{H}_i = t$ we deduce $\sigma_i^2 = 1$. Hence we obtain

6.5. Corollary. *The mapping $s_i \mapsto \sigma_i$ extends uniquely to an action of W on $\mathcal{P}$.*

Remark: It is not difficult to see that the standard action of W and the one defined above are conjugated by an element $U \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{P}^W} \mathcal{P} \cong M_n!(\mathcal{P}^W)$. It would be interesting to find such a U explicitly. Note however that U is not uniquely determined.

Observe that the commutation relations $z_{i+1}H_i = \bar{H}_i z_i$ can be rewritten as $(z_{i+1} - 1)H_i = \bar{H}_i(z_i - 1) - (H_i - \bar{H}_i)$ which implies

$$z_{i+1}\sigma_i = \sigma_i z_i - r; \quad z_j \sigma_i = \sigma_i z_j, \quad j \neq i, i+1.$$

Therefore, the σ_i and z_j generate a graded Hecke algebra.

We now consider the limit of the Cherednik operators. Let

$$\tilde{\Xi}_i := z_i - \sigma_i \dots \sigma_{n-1} \tilde{\Phi} \sigma_1 \dots \sigma_{i-1}.$$

Then we have

6.6. Theorem. *For $i = 1, \dots, n$ holds $\Xi_i - 1 \xrightarrow{1,r} -\tilde{\Xi}_i$. Moreover, $\tilde{\Xi}_i \tilde{E}_\lambda = \tilde{\lambda}_i \tilde{E}_\lambda$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$. In particular, the $\tilde{\Xi}_i$ commute pairwise.*

Proof: Follows from $z_i^{-1} - 1 \xrightarrow{1,r} -z_i$, $H_i \xrightarrow{0,r} \sigma_i$, $\Phi \xrightarrow{1,r} \tilde{\Phi}$, and $\bar{\lambda}^{-1} - 1 \xrightarrow{1,r} -\tilde{\lambda}$. $\square$

6.7. Corollary. *The top homogeneous part of $\tilde{P}_\lambda$ and $\tilde{E}_\lambda$ is a Jack polynomial and Opdam's non-symmetric analogue [Op], respectively.*

The extra vanishing theorem goes through verbatim:

6.8. Theorem. *Let $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda$ with $\lambda \not\preceq \mu$. Then $\tilde{E}_\lambda(\tilde{\mu}) = 0$.*

For the inversion formula we introduce

$$\tilde{Z}_i := z_i - \tilde{\Xi}_i = \sigma_i \dots \sigma_{n-1} \tilde{\Phi} \sigma_1 \dots \sigma_{i-1}.$$

Furthermore, let $\tilde{\Psi} : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ be the linear automorphism which maps the leading term of $\tilde{E}_\lambda$ to $\tilde{E}_\lambda$. Then we obtain

6.9. Theorem. *We have $Z_i \xrightarrow{1,r} \tilde{Z}_i$. Moreover, $\tilde{Z}_i \Psi = \Psi z_i$ and the inversion formula holds: $\Psi(f) = f(\tilde{Z}_1, \dots, \tilde{Z}_n)(1)$ for all $f \in \mathcal{P}$.*

Finally, as for integrality, we choose the following normalizing factors:

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_\lambda := \prod_{s \in \lambda} ((a(s) + 1) + (l(s) + 1)r) \tilde{E}_\lambda.$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_\lambda := \prod_{s \in \lambda} (a(s) + (l(s) + 1)r) \tilde{P}_\lambda$$

With this normalization, we obtain:

6.10. Theorem. *We have $\mathcal{E}_\lambda \xrightarrow{2|\lambda|,r} (-1)^{|\lambda|} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_\lambda$ and $\mathcal{P}_\lambda \xrightarrow{2|\lambda|,r} (-1)^{|\lambda|} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_\lambda$. Moreover, the coefficients of $\mathcal{E}_\lambda$ and $\mathcal{P}_\lambda$ are in $\mathbb{Z}[r]$.*

7. References

- [Ch] Cherednik, I.: Non-symmetric Macdonald's polynomials. *Preprint* (1995), 25 pages
- [HU] Howe, R., Umeda, T.: The Capelli identity, the double commutant theorem, and multiplicity-free actions. *Math. Ann.* **290** (1991), 569–619
- [Kn] Knop, F.: Integrality of two variable Kostka functions. *Preprint* (1996), 12 pages
- [KS] Knop, F.; Sahi, S.: Difference operators and symmetric functions defined by their zeros. *Preprint* (1996), 14 pages
- [M1] Macdonald, I.: Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials (2nd ed.). Oxford: Clarendon Press 1995
- [M2] Macdonald, I.: Affine Hecke algebras and orthogonal polynomials. *Séminaire Bourbaki* (1995), n° 797
- [Ok] Okounkov, A.: Quantum immanants and higher Capelli identities. *Preprint* (1995), 23 pages
- [Ol] Olshanski, G.: Quasi-symmetric functions and factorial Schur functions. *Preprint* (1995), 21 pages
- [Op] Opdam, E.: Harmonic analysis for certain representations of graded Hecke algebras. *Acta Math.* **175** (1995), 75–121
- [Sa] Sahi, S.: The spectrum of certain invariant differential operators associated to Hermitian symmetric spaces. In: *Lie theory and geometry*. (J.-L. Brylinski et al. eds.) Progress Math. **123**, Boston: Birkhäuser 1994, 569–576
- [WUN] Wakayama, M.; Umeda, T.; Noumi, M.: A quantum analogue of the Capelli identity and an elementary differential calculus on $GL_q(n)$. *Duke Math. J.* **76** (1994), 567–594